UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Roanoke Division

GEORGE FREDERICK DELANEY,

Plaintiff

v. Civil Action No.: 7:08-cv-00465

ROBERT MARSH, ET AL.,

Defendants.

DEFENDANT JOHN O. MARSH, M.D.'S MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Defendant John O. Marsh, M.D., by counsel, moves this Court for an order protecting it from discovery and states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff is a *pro se* prisoner who has filed a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action against Dr. Marsh for deliberate indifference to a serious medical condition.
- 2. Defendant filed an Answer asserting among defenses qualified immunity.

 Defendant intends to file a motion for summary judgment and memorandum in support on the basis of qualified immunity.
- 3. Plaintiff served counsel on March 28, 2010 with Request for Production of Documents.

LAW OFFICES TIMBERLAKE, SMITH, THOMAS & MOSES, P.C. STAUNTON, VIRGINIA 540/885-1517 fax: 540/885-4537 Case 7:08-cv-00465-gec -mfu Document 122 Filed 04/22/10 Page 2 of 3

4. Discovery should not be allowed to proceed in this case until the question

of qualified immunity is resolved. Mitchell v. Forsythe, 472 U.S.511, 526 (1986); Harlow

v. Fitzgerald, 457 U.S. 800, 818 (1982). The qualified immunity doctrine "'permits

the resolution of many insubstantial claims on summary judgment' and to avoid

'subjecting governmental officials either to the cost of trial or to the burdensome of broad

reaching discovery' in cases where the legal norms the officials are alleged to have

violated were not clearly established at the time." Mitchell, 472 U.S. 526, citing, Harlow,

457 U.S. at 817 - 818. "Unless the plaintiff's allegations state a claim of violation of

clearly established law, a defendant pleading qualified immunity is entitled to dismissal

before the commencement of discovery." See id., at 818; See also, Cloaniger v.

McDevitt, 555 F. 3d 324 (4th Cir. 2009).

5. Discovery at this early stage before the issue of qualified immunity has been

resolved should not be permitted.

FOR THESE REASONS, defendant respectfully requests that this Court grant his

motion and enter an order protecting him from answering the discovery propounded and

prohibiting further discovery until such time as this Court determines the issue of qualified

immunity.

JOHN O. MARSH, M.D.

By Counsel

LAW OFFICES TIMBERLAKE, SMITH, THOMAS & MOSES, P.C. STAUNTON, VIRGINIA 540/885-1517 fax: 540/885-4537

2

Timberlake, Smith, Thomas & Moses, P. C. 25 North Central Avenue P. O. Box 108 Staunton, VA 24402-0108

phone: 540/885-1517 fax: 540/885-4537

By: s/s James D. Mayson
James D. Mayson
VSB # 47152

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing was mailed, postage prepaid to plaintiff on April 22, 2010, and I have electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

George Delaney #374390 Powhatan Correctional Center 3600 Woods Way State Farm. VA 23160

Erin Williams Hapgood 415 S. College Avenue Salem, VA 24153

Richard Carson Vorhis Office of the Attorney General 900 Est Main Street Richmond, VA 23219

s/s James D. Mayson

James D. Mayson

 $F: \ \ \ Pleadings \ \ Motion for Protective Order. wpd \\$

LAW OFFICES TIMBERLAKE, SMITH, THOMAS & MOSES, P.C. STAUNTON, VIRGINIA 540/885-1517 fax: 540/885-4537